Targeting Protein-Protein Interactions via Target-Guided Synthesis

Roman Manetsch



Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida 4202 E. Fowler Avenue, Tampa, FL 33620

Fundamental Principle: Polyvalent interactions can be collectively much stronger than corresponding monovalent interactions.



A. J. Kirby, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1980, 37, 183; W. P. Jencks, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1981, 78, 4046.

In Situ Click Chemistry – A Kinetic TGS Variant



Polyvalent interactions can be collectively much stronger than corresponding monovalent interactions.

Examples:

- hydrazone formation
- disulfide bond formation
- epoxide ring-opening
- N-alkylation
- S-alkylation

In situ Click Chemistry:



- modular
- wide in scope
- high-yielding
- insensitive to oxygen and water
- enzymatic targets
- potent inhibitors



A. J. Kirby, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1980, 37, 183; W. P. Jencks, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1981, 78, 4046.



- good hit rate known inhibitors as reactive building blocks
- very potent hit compounds
- reactive building blocks are binding with good/high affinity





- good hit rate known inhibitors as reactive building blocks
- very potent hit compounds
- reactive building blocks are binding with good/high affinity

Questions

- Is kinetic TGS suitable for fragment linking?
- Is kinetic TGS suitable for challenging targets? Protein-protein interactions?
- Has kinetic TGS potential to be a straightforward fragment-based approach for targets limited in structural information?





Theory of hot spots:

Subregions of protein-protein interfaces that contribute significantly to the overall free energy of binding between the proteins

Size of hot spots is comparable to the surface area of drug-like molecules

Flexible amino acid residues at the protein surface

Example:Interleukin-2 (IL-2) and its receptor IL- $2\alpha R$ Compounds bind to the IL- $2\alpha R$ -binding region on IL-2



T. Clackson, J. A. Wells, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, 267, 383.

The Bcl-2 Family: Apoptotic Switches in Cancer



Bcl-2 Family Proteins

- anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins: Bcl-2, Bcl- X_L and Mcl-1
- pro-apoptotic proteins: Bax, Bak and BH3-only proteins (Bim, Bad, Bid, Bik)



Bcl-2 Cancer Biology

- central regulators of apoptosis (validated targets)
- contribute to tumor initiation, progression and resistance to therapy
- disrupting heterodimerization of anti- and pro-apoptotic proteins inhibits anti-apoptotic function of Bcl-2, Bcl-X_L, and Mcl-1



ABT-737 and Analogues





Amidation Reaction





Ning Shangguan, Sreenivas Katukojvala, Rachel Greenberg, Lawrence J. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 7754-7755; Robert V. Kolakowski, Ning Shangguan, Ronald R. Sauers, Lawrence J. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, 128, 5695-5702.

Proof of Concept: Bcl-X_L-templated Reactions





Incubation conditions: $2 \mu M Bcl-X_L$ (phosphate buffer, pH=7.4) 20 μM sulfonylazide and 20 μM thioacid building blocks binary mixtures, 37°C, 12 h LC/MS-SIM analysis

9 building block reagents \rightarrow 18 combinations \rightarrow 1 TGS hit compound

Selected Ion Monitoring versus Scan Mode



time



Scan Mode



Selected Ion Mode

LC/MS-SIM Analysis





Xiangdong Hu, Jiazhi Sun, Hong-Gang Wang, Roman Manetsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130(42), 13820-13821

Control Incubations: Competition with Bim or Bak





Xiangdong Hu, Jiazhi Sun, Hong-Gang Wang, Roman Manetsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130(42), 13820-13821







Incubations of **SZ4** and **TA2** with WT or mutant Bcl-X_L and corresponding control incubations with Bak or Bim BH3 peptides.

Entry	Experiment	PA [#]	% Signal	
1	Buffer alone	26,794	7.4	
2	WT BcI-X _L	363,187	100.0	
3	WT Bcl-X _L and WT Bak	59,437	16.3	
4	WT Bcl- X_L and mutant Bak	181,156	49.8	
5	WT Bcl-X _L and WT Bim	51,773	14.3	
6	WT Bcl- X_{L} and mutant Bim	217,813	59.9	
7	F131A,D133ABcI-X _I	157,059	43.2	
8	R139ABcI-X	95,154	26.2	

[#] PA = Peak Area

Binding: Fluorescence Polarization Assay





Xiangdong Hu, Jiazhi Sun, Hong-Gang Wang, Roman Manetsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130(42), 13820-13821

Bcl-X_L-Templated Reactions and Screening



Total 18 reactive building blocks: 9 sulfonyl azides and 9 thio acids leading to 81 potential amides (9 x 9= 81)



Are TGS Hit Compounds the Most Potent Compounds?







SZ9TA1

SZ9TA5

Fragments	SZ1	SZ2	SZ3	SZ4	SZ5	SZ6	SZ7	SZ8	SZ9
TA1	nd	2	0	14	29	nd	nd	19	80
TA2	nd	9	nd	100	28	26	76	nd	38
TA3	6	7	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	30	22
TA4	nd	25	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	8	nd
TA5	5	nd	nd	nd	0	nd	15	11	60
TA6	4	nd	0	nd	0	nd	20	nd	46
TA7	nd	nd	0	nd	nd	nd	47	30	nd
TA8	3	nd	0	nd	nd	nd	nd	38	nd
TA9	nd	nd	0	nd	1	nd	nd	24	nd

% inhibition at 50 µM acylsulfonamide concentration; 37 compounds synthesized and tested

Parallel Kinetic TGS Screening of Multiple PPIs





Total 43 reactive building blocks: 30 sulfonyl azides and 13 thio acids leading to 390 potential amides (30 x 13 = 390)

Building Blocks and Acylsulfonamides





Parallel Kinetic TGS Screening of Multiple PPIs



Parallel Kinetic TGS Screening of Multiple PPIs





Parallel Kinetic TGS Screening: Example McI-1





Total 43 reactive building blocks: 30 sulfonyl azides and 13 thio acids leading to 390 potential amides (30 x 13 = 390)

Incubation conditions: 8 μ M protein (phosphate buffer, pH=7.4) 20 μ M sulfonylazide and 20 μ M thioacid building blocks Mixtures of 1 thio acid and 3-5 azides, 37 C, 12 h LC/MS-SIM analysis

Example: \pm 500 combinations \rightarrow 13 kinetic TGS hits for McI-1 \rightarrow 1 SZ class



- Amidation between thioacids and sulfonylazides can be utilized for kinetic TGS
- Control incubations to confirm that kinetic TGS occurs at the hot spots of Bcl-X_L, Mcl-1, or any other PPIs
- Kinetic TGS hit acylsulfonamides are more potent compared to acylsulfonamides not discovered through kinetic TGS
- Straightforward and rapid "in-well" activation of thioesters to yield thioacids
- Kinetic TGS screening lead to the discovery of selective acylsulfonylamide inhibitors targeting Mcl-1 over Bcl- X_L

Manetsch Laboratory

Kinetic TGS Xiangdong Hu, Ph.D. Niranjan Namelikonda, Ph.D. YiJun Jiang, Ph.D. Sameer S. Kulkarni Iredia Iyamu Malaria and Leishmania David Flanigan, Ph.D. Richard M. Cross Jordany Maignan Andrii Monastyrskyi Kurt Van Horn Protein Labeling/ Proteomics Arun Kumar Shikha Mahajan Katya Nacheva Qiao Qiao

Malaria

Dennis Kyle, Tina Mutka, Alexis Lacrue, Fabian Saenz (University of South Florida, Tampa) Michael Riscoe and Rolf Winter (Portland VA Medical Center, Portland) Akhil Vaidya (Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Drexel University, Philadelphia)

Bcl-X_L and Mcl-1

Hong-Gang Wang, Kenichiro Doi (Department of Pharmacology, Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey) Wayne Guida, Daniel Santiago, Courtney DuBoulay (University of South Florida, Tampa)

MDM2 and MDMX

Jiandong Chen (The H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Cenrer and Research Institute. Tampa)

Funding

University of South Florida (Interdisciplinary Research Program; FCoE-BITT) American Cancer Society James and Esther King Biomedical Research Program Bankhead-Coley Biomedical Research Program Johnnie B. Byrd Alzheimer 's Center and Research Institute Medicines for Malaria Venture

