

Tables

Table 1. Geometric parameters for empirical terms

Term	X	X_0	ΔX_{\min}	ΔX_{\max}	Description
S_{polar}	R_{12}	$\Sigma R + 0.05 \text{\AA}$	0.25\AA	0.6\AA	Distance between interaction centres
	α_{DON}	180°	30°	80°	Angle around donor H
	α_{ACC}	180°	60°	100°	Angle around acceptor
	α_{C+}	180°	60°	100°	Angle between C+...ACC vector and normal to plane of guanidinium group
	$\phi_{\text{ACC_LP}}$	45°	15°	30°	From ref [] Figure 2.
	$\theta_{\text{ACC_LP}}$	0°	20°	60°	From ref [] Figure 2.
	$\phi_{\text{ACC_PLANE}}$	0°	60°	75°	From ref [] Figure 2.
	$\theta_{\text{ACC_PLANE}}$	0°	20°	60°	From ref [] Figure 2.
S_{repul}	R_{12}	$\Sigma R + 1.1 \text{\AA}$	0.25\AA	0.6\AA	Distance between interaction centres
	α_{DON}	180°	30°	60°	Angle around donor H
	α_{ACC}	180°	30°	60°	Angle around acceptor
	R_{perp}	3.5\AA	0.25\AA	0.6\AA	From ref [] Figure 3
	α_{Slip}	0°	20°	30°	From ref [] Figure 3

^a Geometric variable; ^b Ideal value; ^c Tolerance on ideal value; ^d Deviation at which score is reduced to zero

Table 2. Angular functions used to describe attractive and repulsive polar interactions

IC1 ^a	ANG _{IC1} ^b	IC2 ^a	ANG _{IC2} ^b
Attractive (S_{polar})			
DON	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{DON})$	ACC_LP	$f_1(\Delta\phi_{ACC_LP}).f_1(\Delta\theta_{ACC_LP})$
DON	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{DON})$	ACC_PLANE	$f_1(\Delta\phi_{ACC_PLANE}).f_1(\Delta\theta_{ACC_PLANE})$
DON	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{DON})$	ACC	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{ACC})$
M+	1	ACC_LP	$f_1(\Delta\phi_{ACC_LP}).f_1(\Delta\theta_{ACC_LP})$
M+	1	ACC_PLANE	$f_1(\Delta\phi_{ACC_PLANE}).f_1(\Delta\theta_{ACC_PLANE})$
M+	1	ACC	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{ACC})$
C+	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{C+})$	ACC_LP ACC_PLANE ACC	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{ACC})$
Repulsive (S_{repul})			
DON	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{DON})$	DON	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{DON})$
DON	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{DON})$	M+	1
DON	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{DON})$	C+	1
M+	1	C+	1
C+	1	C+	1
ACC_LP		ACC_LP	
ACC_PLANE	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{ACC})$	ACC_PLANE	$f_1(\Delta\alpha_{ACC})$
ACC		ACC	

^a Interaction centre types; ^bangular functions in Error! Reference source not found.

Table 3. Performance of WSAS model

Solute class	Class size	RMS error (kcal/mol)	MAE (kcal/mol)
Hydrocarbons	66	0.78	0.63
Neutral	382	0.74	0.56
Ions	13	2.52	1.82
All	395	0.86	0.60
(Wang et al)	401	0.79	0.54

Table 4. Intermolecular scoring function weights under evaluation

SF	W _{vdw}	W _{polar}	W _{solv}	W _{repul} ^a	W _{arom}	W _{rot} ^a	W _{const} ^a	R ^c	RMSE ^c
0	1.400	-	-	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.62	10.9
1	1.126	2.360	-	-	-	0.217	0.000	0.64	10.2
2	1.192	2.087	-	2.984	-	0.000	0.000	0.63	10.4
3	1.000 ^b	3.400 ^b	-	5.000 ^b	-	0.000	0.000	0.59	10.9
4	1.317	3.560	0.449	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.67	9.6
5	1.500 ^b	5.000 ^b	0.500 ^b	-	-	0.568	4.782	0.62	10.7
6	1.314	4.447	0.500 ^b	5.000 ^b	-	0.000	0.000	0.62	10.4
7	1.500 ^b	5.000 ^b	-	5.000 ^b	-	0.986	12.046	0.55	12.9
8	1.000 ^b	3.400 ^b	-	5.000 ^b	-1.6 ^b	0.000	0.000	0.53	11.8
9	1.500 ^b	5.000 ^b	0.500 ^b	-	-1.6 ^b	0.647	5.056	0.58	11.5

^a constrained to be > zero; ^b fixed values; ^c correlation coefficient (R), and root mean squared error (RMSE)

between S_{inter} and ΔG_{bind}, for minimised experimental ligand poses, over binding affinity validation set (58 entries).